

Covenant People's Ministry

TACTICS OF THE LAWLESS ONE



by Pastor Jeremy John Visser

(Introduction:)

This evening's topic is called Tactics of the Lawless One and I hope to look a little deeper into the character of Satan and cover some of the verses that many will use to say I'm wrong in bringing this type of study. A new trend that has recently divided the Christian movement is the so-called 'illuminated thought' that Lucifer doesn't really exist and all over the country well-meaning Christians are falling aside to these false teachings as if there's something to gain in thinking this way.

There are many false prophets out there today teaching this detrimental hypothesis even calling themselves 'identity' or 'separatist' so we must always refer back to our beloved scriptures and 'test the spirits.' Many of the 'clicks' that preach against the Law that Jesus taught so perfectly also attack many other essential steps to salvation like denying baptism by immersion, refusing to acknowledge Jesus as God and even attacking the Word itself and calling it fallible.

This evening I plan to discuss some of the false prophet's methods and during this study I'll also be asking you who exactly is to gain from such false teachings. Sadly, many believers have been caught up in the deception that Satan is not real and as such throw out the Biblical teaching of angels and numerous other doctrines, some even have released their own versions of the Bible as if the past four hundred years of Christianity was wrong -- let's not be caught in their deception.

Indeed, this will be a study that will make the devils squirm but the 'sum total' of the Word is what matters, not the traditions of men. Oddly, this 'new belief' fits right in with scripture and I'll prove that these teachers are intentionally leading their congregations right into the

lap of the deceiver. I won't call out any specific names in this study but I'm sure you might be aware of who I'm talking about if you're familiar with these 'latter-day' doctrines and who exactly is pushing them.

(Admonitions:)

There are several commandments in the New Testament that we need to look at before we begin. Turn with me to the 1st epistle of John and we'll read starting at the beginning of chapter 4; "Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world. Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God: And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that *spirit* of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world. Ye are of God, little children, and have overcome them: because greater is he that is in you, than he that is in the world. They are of the world: therefore speak they of the world, and the world heareth them. We are of God: he that knoweth God heareth us; he that is not of God heareth not us. Hereby know we the spirit of truth, and the spirit of error."

These passage re-affirm that there are false prophets in the world today like we also discover in 2 Peter 2:1; "There were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction." These two sections of scripture give us a vivid portrait of the false teacher -- they deny that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh, speak for the world and the world understands them, are of the 'spirit of error' and bring in damnable heresies or new doctrines that are foreign to the Word of Yahweh.

Let's look at another admonition as found in 2 Timothy 2:15-16; "Study to show thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth. But shun profane vain babblings: for they will increase unto more ungodliness." This commandment isn't hard to understand. We must turn to our Bibles because it is truth (John 17:17) and 'rightly divide' that Word of truth. This means that we must weigh all matters and doctrines against the Word, when there's more evidence that supports the theory or belief than it must be counted as doctrine based on 'Biblical evidence.'

2nd Peter 1:20 teaches us; "Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the Scripture is of any private interpretation" and over in 2nd Timothy 3:16-17 we're told; "All Scripture *is* given by inspiration of God, and profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works."

So, we know that there's no 'private interpretation' in the Bible and if it's there it must be truth, period. We also can deduct that the scripture is "profitable for doctrine" and "instruction in righteousness" so Biblical facts must be considered in all things. This is in keeping with Yahweh's commandment in Deuteronomy 11:18; "Therefore shall ye lay up these my words in your heart and in your soul, and bind them for a sign upon your hand, that they may be as frontlets between your eyes."

We will soon discover that these same false prophets teach against the Bible or the 'sum total' found within its pages. If there's thirty proof texts that prove a fact or theory, these 'lower-case gods' would rather debate those same thirty verses rather than trust in two or three witnesses to the fact that it's Biblical. I recently read an embarrassing book that attempted to dismiss the numerous 'seedline' scriptures all while offering no answers itself. This author went on to attack over seven different pastors by name as if he had all truth and was wiser than they and by the end I walked away from that same book with a strengthened belief in the 'seedline theory' due to the sheer number of proofs this author attempted to write off. I might add that this same author did a rather poor job of dismissing anything but his credibility and rather tried to push the blame off on the Jews as if they had anything to benefit by this particular teaching. Let me ask right now -- Who is to gain from the train of thought that there are not literal 'children of the devil (1 John 3:10)' as even Jesus taught? That's right, only the devil's children could benefit from such blasphemy so what does this teaching hurt?

(Angels:)

Those that like to pretend that Satan isn't a factual entity have a hard time doing so with the Bible so they usually resort to other means like saying there's no such things as [angels](#). Satan is considered a 'fallen' angel so you can't have one false teaching without the other so fictitious prophets tend to dismiss both. They like to point out that angel really means 'messenger' as if that disproves their existence but I'd like to shatter that myth right now before getting to Lucifer himself. Let's look at a few miracles these so-called 'men' took part in:

We read in Numbers 22:21-31; "Balaam rose up in the morning, and saddled his ass, and went with the princes of Moab. And God's anger was kindled because he went: and the angel of Yahweh stood in the way for an adversary against him. Now he was riding upon his ass, and his two servants *were* with him. And the ass saw the angel of Yahweh standing in the way, and his sword drawn in his hand: and the ass turned aside out of the way, and went into the field: and Balaam smote the ass, to turn her into the way. But the angel of Yahweh stood in a path of the vineyards, a wall *being* on this side, and a wall on that side. And when the ass saw the angel of Yahweh, she thrust herself unto the wall, and crushed Balaam's foot against the wall: and he smote her again. And the angel of Yahweh went further, and stood in a narrow place, where *was* no way to turn either to the right hand or to the left. And when the ass saw the angel of Yahweh, she fell down under Balaam: and Balaam's anger was kindled, and he smote the ass with a staff. And Yahweh opened the mouth of the ass, and she said unto Balaam, What have I done unto thee, that thou hast smitten me these three times? And Balaam said unto the ass, Because thou hast mocked me: I would there were a sword in mine hand, for now would I kill thee. And the ass said unto Balaam, *Am* not I thine ass, upon which thou hast ridden ever since *I was* thine unto this day? was I ever wont to do so unto thee? And he said, Nay. Then Yahweh opened the eyes of Balaam, and he saw the angel of Yahweh standing in the way, and his sword drawn in his hand: and he bowed down his head, and fell flat on his face."

Notice that Yahweh was angry with Balaam because he was out to curse the Israelites and instead sent an 'angel of Yahweh' against him? Those that teach against angels will say that

this 'messenger' was just a man but I ask does any "man" have the ability to make a donkey speak and at the same be visible to animals and not humans? I'll even cover a few more examples just to drive home the lunacy of this false teaching which is also considered 'doctrines of devils' in scripture -- these 'theories' only promote Satan and his children making them his false doctrines. Read Jude 1:11; "Woe unto them! for they have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward, and perished in the gainsaying of Korah." The sad thing is that even Balaam learned that angels are real the hard way but many so-called 'scholars' today would have you to think otherwise.

Let's read more about angels in Acts 5:17-23; "The high priest rose up, and all they that were with him, (which is the sect of the Sadducees,) and were filled with indignation, And laid their hands on the apostles, and put them in the common prison. But the angel of Yahweh by night opened the prison doors, and brought them forth, and said, Go, stand and speak in the temple to the people all the words of this life. And when they heard *that*, they entered into the temple early in the morning, and taught. But the high priest came, and they that were with him, and called the council together, and all the senate of the children of Israel, and sent to the prison to have them brought. But when the officers came, and found them not in the prison, they returned, and told, Saying, The prison truly found we shut with all safety, and the keepers standing without before the doors: but when we had opened, we found no man within."

Maybe this was just an escape artist that had the ability to release the captives from a fully-staffed prison without anyone noticing? That's what those who teach against angels would have you to think -- let's read another passage that even further shatters this 'new doctrine' like this one in Acts 12:5-9; "Peter therefore was kept in prison: but prayer was made without ceasing of the church unto God for him. And when Herod would have brought him forth, the same night Peter was sleeping between two soldiers, bound with two chains: and the keepers before the door kept the prison. And, behold, the angel of Yahweh came upon *him*, and a light shined in the prison: and he smote Peter on the side, and raised him up, saying, Arise up quickly. And his chains fell off from *his* hands. And the angel said unto him, Gird thyself, and bind on thy sandals. And so he did. And he saith unto him, Cast thy garment about thee, and follow me. And he went out, and followed him; and wist not that it was true which was done by the angel; but thought he saw a vision."

Hard-hearted Christians love to argue about this verse defending their positions that this angel was just a man. Notice that this so-called 'man' was able to release Peter from between two soldiers on both sides of him without them noticing? Notice how the angel was illuminated and "shined light in the prison" and Peter felt as if he was seeing a "vision?" The next time somebody attempts to tell you that these are common men, remind them that they're slandering the very teachings of scripture. Most times these same false prophets bring up the fact that angel, taken from the Greek word aggelos (pronounced ang'-el-os) means "messenger (Strong's #G32)" as if this proves they were men but if you mention that Emmanuel means "God with us (Matthew 1:23)" they will debate for hours trying to say Jesus wasn't Yahweh. Again, the first definition of 'antichrist' is "every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh" which is just another way of saying he's not God.

Speaking of Jesus, when He was hanging on the cross "there appeared an angel unto him from heaven, strengthening him (Luke 22:43)." How could this not be an angelic being? Indeed, only those that would want to be God would teach that men had access to the very heavens themselves and could strengthen our perfect Messiah. What blasphemy!

Keep in mind that those who teach against angels must come up with their own definition of 'cherubim' as well. Over in Genesis 3:24 we discover; "So he drove out the man [Adam]; and he placed at the east of the garden of Eden Cherubims, and a flaming sword which turned every way, to keep the way of the tree of life." So, I guess this was just a man who was placed as a guardian in Eden to keep the first man Adam from returning or did Yahweh just use a sixth-day creation? What's most amazing is that I would even have to present a study like this one in this day and age but sadly a lot of Christians have "studied themselves retarded" so I feel these scriptural proofs are necessary to resist the devil so he will flee from us (James 4:7). Another question -- who has the most to gain by teaching there is not literal angels that can work miracles on earth? Once again, the answer is the devil's children or Lucifer himself that would benefit from such nonsensical teachings. What does this particular teaching hurt?

(Devils:)

"The great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him (Revelation 12:8)."

The first tactic of the truly programmed is to throw guilt on anyone who dare teach about Lucifer using the first commandment to support their claims. In Exodus 20:3 it reads "Thou shalt have no other gods before me" and to them just mentioning the name of the deceiver is 'having another God.' The sad thing is they also forget to read on where it says "Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness *of any thing that is* in heaven above, or that *is* in the earth beneath, or that *is* in the water under the earth: Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them" in the next few verses that prove we must 'bow ourselves down to' these false gods in order for it to be a violation. First things first -- being 'aware' of Satan as we're commanded in the scriptures isn't the same thing as worshipping or 'having' other gods before Almighty Yahweh -- it's called being wiser than serpents.

Here's a verse; "Be sober, be vigilant; because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour (1 Peter 5:8)." Here's another; "Submit yourselves therefore to God. Resist the devil, and he will flee from you (James 4:7)." Yet another; "In meekness instructing those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth; And they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil, who are taken captive by him at his will (2 Timothy 2:25-26)." These are just a few singular descriptions of Satan, the enemy.

Just like with angels these same false prophets point out that 'devil' transliterated in the scriptures from the Greek word diabolos really means "false accuser" as the Strong's Concordance suggests so to them this means there's no literal Satan. What does the Strong's really say? It defines diabolos as "a traducer; specifically Satan (compare [H7854]):

- false accuser, devil, slanderer (Strong's #G1228)." Notice how they take the lesser meaning to support their false claims? It's a specific word for Lucifer that means 'traducer.' It's taken from the Hebrew #H7854 which is sâtân (pronounced saw-tawn') which means "an opponent; especially (with the article prefixed) Satan, the arch enemy of good: - adversary." Not too surprising is this is the very same entity that appeared to Eve in the Garden of Eden, no wonder the 'non-seedliners' love to dismiss these verses!

Turn with me over to the book of Isaiah, chapter 14 and we'll read verse 12; "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!" This is the only place in our scriptures where the name Lucifer appears and it's the 'noun masculine' name of hêylêl (pronounced hay-lale') that literally means "The morning star (in the sense of brightness): - lucifer (Strong's #H1966)." Again -- here we have a definite name and Brown-Driver-Briggs' Hebrew Definitions explains it this way; "shining one, morning star, Lucifer, the king of Babylon and Satan." How anyone could teach that a fallen cherub is simply a man is beyond me.

Over in Job we read more about Lucifer; "Now there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before Yahweh, and Satan came also among them. And Yahweh said unto Satan, Whence comest thou? Then Satan answered Yahweh, and said, From going to and fro in the earth, and from walking up and down in it (Job 1:6-7)."

Here we have a separate entity from the other 'sons of God' which are without a doubt angels. Notice that this creature was estranged from Yahweh so he's asked by Him "whence comest thou?" to which Lucifer answers "going to and fro in the earth, and from walking up and down in it." Skip down to the 12th verse; "And Yahweh said unto Satan, Behold, all that he hath *is* in thy power; only upon himself put not forth thine hand. So Satan went forth from the presence of Yahweh." Those familiar with the account are aware that Satan sent all manner of evil on Job but he didn't succeed in breaking him so Yahweh blessed Job many times over. For now, focus on the specific 'powers' that were granted to this fallen angel and I'll show you who the 'prince of this world' is:

Jesus taught about Satan; "**Now shall the prince of this world be cast out** (John 12:31)" and "**The prince of this world cometh, and hath nothing in me** (John 14:30)" and "**The prince of this world is judged** (John 16:11)." So saying that Lucifer is the 'prince' or 'god' of this world is very scriptural because we also read in 2nd Corinthians 4:3-4; "But if our gospel be hid, it is hid to them that are lost: In whom the god of this world hath blinded the minds of them which believe not, lest the light of the glorious gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them." Indeed, Satan has many names but they're all specific towards his character, not of a powerless man.

Before moving on to Jesus' dealing with the specific entity of Satan we should quickly recap. From the scriptures so far we have established that Satan is a specific adversary to Yahweh and his name is hêylêl or Lucifer. He was cast down from heaven and was even granted certain powers of destruction from Yahweh and most are aware that we were given power over him due to our Savior's sacrifice. Here's another question -- who has the most to gain by teaching there is not a literal 'accuser' or enemy of Yahweh and instead it's mankind that has such evil powers? I think you're starting to notice a trend here -- once again the answer would be Satan and his children that would benefit from such non-scriptural filth.

What does this particular teaching hurt? Frankly speaking, I'd rather surround myself with trying Christians who believe that Satan is real than those that think they're the devil, wouldn't you?

(Temptations:)

"There came a voice from heaven, *saying*, Thou art my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased. And immediately the Spirit driveth him into the wilderness. And he was there in the wilderness forty days, tempted of Satan; and was with the wild beasts; and the angels ministered unto him (Mark 1:11-13)."

There are two lengthy accounts of the so-called 'temptation' of Jesus in our scriptures and they're found in Matthew 4:1-11 and Luke 4:1-13. Those that like to dismiss the devil usually miss the Biblical truth that Jesus is Yahweh because "God cannot be tempted with evil, neither tempteth he any man (James 1:13)." You will usually find that most who maintain that there is no devil also will refuse to acknowledge Jesus as LORD or respect His position in the Godhead. Let's look deeper into Satan's attempts to tempt our Savior who dealt with his attacks using the Word of Yahweh and also see how the [Ferrar Fenton Bible](#) renders Luke 4:1-13:

"Jesus, full of the Holy Spirit, went away from the Jordan, and was carried by the Spirit into the desert, to be tried under the Devil for forty days. And He ate nothing during that time; but on their completion He at last was hungry. The Devil then said to Him, "If You are a Son of God, command this stone, so that it may become a loaf." In reply to him, however, Jesus said, **It is written, man shall not live upon bread alone, but by every decree of God** (Luke 4:1-4 FFB)."

Here we see that the recently-baptized Jesus left John the Immerser at the Jordan river and was "full of the Holy Spirit." This gift of the Holy Spirit was not just simply because He was "God manifest in the flesh" but the evidence of a proper baptism for we read in Acts 2:28; "Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost." Jesus was our perfect example to follow and He was baptized to "receive the gift of the Holy Ghost" as we must also do to allow that same Spirit in us. We can also notice that our Savior had just finished fasting so Satan comes to Him while in this weakened state and doesn't offer Him food but asks for a sign. Jesus replies by quoting Deuteronomy 8:3 and using that verse in its proper context.

"Then taking Him up a high mountain, he pointed out to Him in a second of time all the surrounding kingdoms; and the Devil said to Him "I will give you all this power, and the splendour of them; for it has been entrusted to me, and I can give it to whoever I will. If you, therefore, will pay homage before me, they shall be Yours." **Begone from Me, Enemy!**" Jesus replied; **for it is written, You shall kneel to your Lord God, and worship Him alone** (Luke 4:5-8 FFB)."

A little higher up the hill Satan tells Jesus that he would give Him all the earthly kingdoms because he had been given dominion over them by Yahweh. He continues to say that he can give those kingdoms and powers to 'whoever' he wishes and would offer them to the Messiah if He would only bow down and worship him. After a sharp rebuke Jesus again uses

scripture against the enemy by quoting Exodus 34:14; "For thou shalt worship no other god: for Yahweh, whose name *is* Jealous, *is* a jealous God." It's obvious in these accounts that this is not a simple 'man' tempting Jesus but instead an unnatural being with supernatural powers.

"He then carried Him to Jerusalem, and placed Him upon the battlement of the temple, and said to Him. "If You are a Son of God, throw Yourself down from here; for it is written, He shall instruct His angels concerning You and they will protect You; And will hold You in their hands, For fear You should crush Your foot against a stone." "Yet it has been said," Jesus answered him **You shall not try the Lord your God**. The Devil having then completed every trial, departed from Him until another opportunity (Luke 4:9-13 FFB)."

One could only imagine the 'personal interpretation' that the lawless would give to these verses because they also deny the numerous truths found within them. Here we find Satan complete with special powers, another mention of angels, the very seedline prophecy twisted by our enemy, an additional claim to be God by Jesus, His use of the Mosaic Law and proof that Satan flees but can use every 'opportunity' to come back. We see that Lucifer 'transports' the Savior to the highest point of the temple to test whether or not Jesus was 'a Son of God' even though they're both considered 'Sons of Yahweh.' He then attempts to twist scripture found way back in Psalm 91:11-12 that reads; "For he shall give his angels charge over thee, to keep thee in all thy ways. They shall bear thee up in *their* hands, lest thou dash thy foot against a stone" leaving out the very next verse that adds; "Thou shalt tread upon the lion and adder: the young lion and the dragon shalt thou trample under feet."

Who is this dragon? Revelation 20:2 is just one verse that attributes this symbolic name to Satan himself. What's all this talk about 'dashing feet?' Go back even further to the curse pronounced on the serpent in the Garden of Eden found in Genesis 3:15; "I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel." Indeed, the only thing that Jesus' foot was to crush was the 'false-rock' of Lucifer's head because "Death [Satan] is swallowed up in victory (1 Corinthians 15:54)." Before moving on to demons I feel another question is in order -- Who is to gain from the so-called teaching that there is not a literal Satan on earth as Jesus taught? Once again, only Satan and his children could benefit from such gobbledygook and exactly what does this teaching hurt?

(Demons:)

"Ye are of *your* father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it (Matthew 8:44)."

If one is to throw out angels and Satan then naturally fallen angels and the devil's children are next to go. That book I mentioned a little bit earlier called Matthew 8:44 "the seedliners favorite New Testament proof-text" as if Jesus Christ Himself didn't really say these words. The author then went on to explain away how 'Yahshua (Yiddish for "blotted out")' didn't really mean what he said and went on to say that we "can't take the Bible literally" when

both Yahweh and Jesus Himself said; "**Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God** (Matthew 4:4)." If our Savior spoke and taught something, we'd better listen to what he says as close as possible and keep our own personal 'opinions' to ourselves. Jesus plainly taught that Satan had physical children on earth and whoever attempts to question the Word Himself ought to in turn be questioned by Christians.

Jesus asked this; "**O generation of vipers, how can ye, being evil, speak good things** (Matthew 12:34)?" and "**Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell** (Matthew 23:33)?" John the Baptist also asked; "O generation of vipers, who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come?" in both Matthew 3:7 and Luke 3:7. It is obvious from these four scripture references spoken towards men that there is 'a generation [race] of vipers' that are also considered 'serpents.' Who in their right mind could teach that these terms are "not meant to be taken literally" when both Jesus and John literally said them?

Turn with me to Matthew 23:35 and read the following words spoken to this same race of vipers; "**Upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zechariah son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar.**" Here's a key to where this race descended -- Cain. There was nobody else in the Garden of Eden to murder "righteous Abel" but Cain so he's obviously 'the firstborn' of Satan's brood and 'a murderer from the beginning.'

"He that committeth sin is of the devil; for the devil sinneth from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that he might destroy the works of the devil. Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin; for his seed remaineth in him: and he cannot sin, because he is born of God. In this the children of God are manifest, and the children of the devil: whosoever doeth not righteousness is not of God, neither he that loveth not his brother. For this is the message that ye heard from the beginning, that we should love one another. Not as Cain, who was of that wicked one, and slew his brother. And wherefore slew he him? Because his own works were evil, and his brother's righteous (1 John 3:8-12)."

Here we see that Abel is called 'righteous' just as Jesus taught and that he was murdered because Cain was evil in "the beginning." Notice how the subject is "the children of the devil" and that we're discussing "seed" or bloodlines? These passages also plainly teach that Cain "was [born] of that wicked one" and Jesus was sent to destroy his 'works' or else the Bible is lying. Frankly, I trust my Bible but I trust the words of Jesus Christ much more than it so let's look at what He taught us about Satan's children:

(Tares:)

Jesus had just finished teaching 'the parable of the wheat and the tares' and was asked by His disciples to explain its meaning in more detail. We'll go ahead and read Matthew 13:37-43; "**He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man; The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one; The enemy that sowed them is the devil; the harvest is the end of the world; and the reapers are the angels. As therefore the tares are gathered**

and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world. The Son of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity; And shall cast them into a furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth. Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father. Who hath ears to hear, let him hear."

How much clearer could Jesus have said it? It's truly a testimony for our LORD's teaching that he could explain this very parable after delivering it to the general masses and have it transcribed in our scriptures for all time yet people still refuse to read the details. Like the scribes and Pharisees of old, modern-day false prophets continue to add their own interpretations to 'a parable already explained' having even less of an excuse due to our New Testament. It's sadly apparent that they really don't "have ears to hear."

Like in the previous passages, the subject is once again 'seed' and bloodlines so Jesus tells us that "the tares are the children of the wicked" and the "enemy that sowed them is the devil." He also goes on to say that "the good seed" are born of the Son of Man who also happens to be "God manifest in the flesh." I consider myself to be a child of Yahweh, do you? Not only do these very passages teach that Lucifer has literal children on earth but it's an outright teaching against the so-called "rapture hypothesis." If you go back and read the entire parable you'll also notice that Satan's bastard children are gathered from the world first and burned while 'the righteous seed' witness the event -- another proof that the rapture is an outright non-Biblical deception.

Christians who are familiar with the parable of the wheat and the tares are usually also familiar with 'the parable of the seed and the sower' accounted for in Luke 8:5-18 so I'll just focus in on one group of seeds that were sown -- them by the way side. In Luke 8:5 it reads; "**A sower went out to sow his seed: and as he sowed, some fell by the way side; and it was trodden down, and the fowls of the air devoured it.**" Jesus explained this parable as well and says "**the seed is the word of God**" and "**those by the way side are they that hear; then cometh the devil, and taketh away the word out of their hearts, lest they should believe and be saved.**" Here we see another tactic of Satan and his children, they will try to take away the Word or attack the scriptures.

Hopefully by now you're able to see how this weak 'no-devil doctrine' also creates a snowball effect with scriptures being tossed out left and right to support their claims. Before moving on to the final section of this evening's study it's time for another question -- Who is to gain from teaching against the clear-cut meaning of these two parables and that these 'tares' and this 'generation of vipers' are not Satan's children as Jesus taught? Like usual, the answer is Satan and his children could be the only creatures that benefit from such perversions and exactly what does this teaching hurt?

(Words:)

"Now the Serpent was more impudent than any of the wild animals of the field which the Ever-Living God had made. So he asked the woman "Is it true that God has said, you may not eat of every tree of the Garden (Genesis 3:1 FFB)?"

It has already been established that Satan, or the serpent, twists the Word of Yahweh in order to push his own agenda. We have already briefly touched upon his pathetic endeavor at 'tempting' Jesus, how it was 'a battle using scripture' and his style is to "take away the word" from well-meaning Christians. We've also seen how these same children of the devil love to debate or attack the authority of 'the oracles of Yahweh' so you should be able to notice how these same false prophets use the same methods today. Anything from anti-King James sentiment to embracing corrupted 'new translations' or downright writing their own versions of the Bible, these serpentine men will seemingly stop at nothing to try and cast negative light on the Word itself. While we're covering these verses keep in mind that any attack on the Word is an attack on Jesus Christ Himself because He is "the living Word (Revelation 19:13)" and He's perfect, just like our beloved scriptures.

Before anyone labels me "King James Only" I'd like to point out that every denomination of modern Christianity has stemmed from the Textus Receptus and even with minor changes in some words meaning it's the same script that the great Martin Luther used in 1545 for his German Bible. It's also the same text that the Authorized King James Version was transliterated from in 1611 and is used to support every denomination. In time, these so-called scholars grow to believe they're wiser than 'the book of our faith' and will release their own versions to support their personal doctrines. Perfect examples of this are the 'New World Translation' used by Jehovah's Witnesses or the 'New American Standard' used by many Roman Catholics. Indeed, even homosexuals have their own so-called "Bible" but it's certainly not the Word of Yahweh. The old adage that "any Bible is better than none" is an outright lie because not every book with 'Bible' in the title is the inspired Word and the pro-homosexual [Zondervan Company](#) even has plans to release their new 'genderless' edition of the scriptures this year to fill in the gaps that their altered 'New International Version' had missed. Are we to suppose that the Sons of God will be considered the "Whatever of God" and there's no Heavenly Father anymore? In truth, I don't preach the KJV-only pretext but I certainly will never quote from a 'business Bible' that earns our enemy money and more worldly power -- let's not give heed to these seducing spirits and their many doctrines of devils.

(Mockers:)

"Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints. For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying the only Lord God, and our Lord Jesus Christ (Jude 1:2-4)."

Here the 'steady' Judas Thaddaeus tells us that we should "contend [fight] for the faith delivered to the saints" and that there are "ungodly men who crept in [among us] unaware." They present false doctrine and deny the only Lord God Jesus Christ and he then continues to add; "These are murmurers, complainers, walking after their own lusts; and their mouth speaketh great swelling words, having men's persons in admiration because of advantage. But, beloved, remember ye the words which were spoken before of the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you there should be mockers in the last time, who should

walk after their own ungodly lusts (Jude 1:16-18)." Let's take a quick look at what Jesus said about these same mockers, shall we?

"Take heed that no man deceive you. For many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many. And ye shall hear of wars and rumors of wars: see that ye be not troubled: for all must come to pass, but the end is not yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in divers places. All these *are* the beginning of sorrows. Then shall they deliver you up to be afflicted, and shall kill you: and ye shall be hated of all nations for my name's sake. And then shall many be offended, and shall betray one another, and shall hate one another. And many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many. And because iniquity shall abound, the love of many shall wax cold. But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved (Matthew 24:4-13)." He also said; "Blessed are ye, when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you *from their company*, and shall reproach *you*, and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of man's sake (Luke 6:22)" and "If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before *it hated you* (John 15:8)."

The fact of the matter is that in this day and age the primary tactic of false prophets is to laugh at those who teach or believe that there's a literal [Satan](#). This type of laughter is a weak form of mockery and if we're to "contend for the faith" we will be hated by a majority of the world who has chosen to throw out the very teachings of the Bible -- Jesus is Yahweh, the Law is still in effect, the seedline truth, there's literal children of Satan and on the long list goes. Many familiar with 'the movement' know that as a Faith we should always continue moving forward but not fall aside to doctrines that are foreign to the Word of God because Yahweh never changes. It's a promise found in Malachi 3:6: "I *am* Yahweh, I change not; therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed." I say thank goodness for that very fact.

(Intention:)

It's my hope that I was able to convince you that there is a literal [Satan](#) who has his own children while arming you with enough scriptures to defend yourself against this anti-Christ teaching. While this could be considered one of my longest sermons to date in all honesty we've barely touched the tip of the iceberg on the many scriptural truths that support Lucifer's existence. By showing you Jesus' dealings with 'the enemy of all righteousness' I pray that you were able to see that the devil is not a man or just a "bad conscience." By asking the questions I hope to show you who profits from such false claims. Without a strong knowledge of our enemy we have no way of equipping ourselves for war.

"Put on the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil. For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high *places*. Wherefore take unto you the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand (Ephesians 6:11)." That armor, of course, is the Word of Yahweh -- let us claim it proudly without shame. Thanks to all who have written in your letters of encouragement and stopped by [our forums](#), may the God of Israel keep us all

from these strange doctrines of devils. It's my hope that you'll pray for this ministry and one another -- may Jesus always get the glory! War for Christ! Amen.

[Post a comment here.](#)

[Date: Wednesday, March 23rd, 2005]

Last Page Update: March 28, 2010

[Covenant People's Ministry](#)

Box 256, Brooks, GA 30205